What is this study about?

The study examined whether preschoolers who were exposed to a media-rich literacy curriculum had better early reading skills than preschoolers who were exposed to a media-rich science curriculum. The study randomly assigned 80 preschool classes to incorporate either a media-rich literacy curriculum or a media-rich science curriculum. The final study sample included 398 students from 47 early childhood centers serving children ages 4 and 5 from low-income households in New York City and San Francisco.

Five tests measured the students’ early reading skills: the letter name, letter sounds, and common initial sounds subtests of the Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening (PALS); an assessment of print awareness; and a researcher-developed test of how well the student recognized letters in his/her own name.

What did the study authors report?

Students in the media-rich literacy classrooms outperformed students in the media-rich science classrooms by a statistically significant margin on all but the PALS Beginning Sound Awareness subtest. Across the four statistically significant impacts, the authors reported an average effect size of one-third of a standard deviation, equivalent to moving a student from the 50th percentile to the 63rd percentile.

Features of the Media-Rich Literacy Curriculum

Students participate in teacher-guided viewing of the children’s shows Sesame Street, Between the Lions, and Super Why! at least twice a week for ten weeks. Teachers use scripted lessons to reinforce key learning elements before, during, and after showing the video segments. Students practice literacy concepts with group-based and individual activities such as free writing and online computer games. Teachers received a two-hour initial training and ongoing support from coaches throughout the duration of the intervention (as did teachers in the media-rich science curriculum).
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